IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

) C/A No.: 1:14-4861-RBH-SVH
)
)
) ORDER
)
)
)
)
_)
_

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on July 23, 2015. [ECF No. 37]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), on July 23, 2015, advising him of the importance of the motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response by August 27, 2015. [ECF No. 38]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendants' motion may be granted.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this

1:14-cv-04861-RBH Date Filed 09/01/15 Entry Number 42 Page 2 of 2

case and to file a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment by September 15, 2015. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

September 1, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

Shira V. Hodges